

ACER

 Agency for the Cooperation
of Energy Regulators

ACER workshop on Electricity transmission tariff harmonisation scoping

Introduction and overview

24 March 2015

Vanja Munerati

ACER Electricity Department

Transmission tariff structures and potential harmonization:

- Subject to various studies.
- Some argue significant differences between the Member States could potentially hamper competition and further market integration.
- Should be investigated in an all-inclusive manner.

Potential rules regarding harmonised electricity transmission tariff structures:

- Priority matter in EC Decision 2014/713 on the establishment of the annual priority lists for the development of network codes and guidelines for 2015.
- Scoping activity by the Agency to assess the possible need for a framework guideline.

ACER appointed CEPA consultants to inform its considerations and provide overall recommendation on tariff harmonisation.

- Steering Committee, comprised of ACER and NRA tariff experts.
- Wider stakeholder events and a questionnaire/interview approach to gauge stakeholder views.

ACER scoping paper, based on CEPA work and other considerations:

- Whether further tariff harmonisation is desirable at this stage and, if so,
- What the appropriate approach may be.
- When: Autumn 2015.

Commission Regulation (EU) No 714/2009

Network access charges shall, among other, promote transparency, take into account network security, reflect actual/efficient costs, be non-discriminatory and non-distance related, and provide, where appropriate, locational signals at Community level.

(Art 14)

Commission Regulation (EU) No 838/2010

Guidelines relating to the inter-transmission system operator compensation mechanism and a **common regulatory approach to transmission charging**, including allowed ranges for charges levied on generators (G-charges).

ACER Opinion No 09/2014

Agency's views on the appropriate range for G-charges: (proposed for 2015-18)

- Energy-based G-charges (€/MWh) shall not be used.
- Restrictions on cost-reflective power-based G-charges and lump-sum G-charges unnecessary as long as they are cost-reflective, applied appropriately and efficiently and, to the extent possible, in a harmonised way across Europe.
 - ➔ Importance of maintaining a close monitoring.

We observe no action from the Commission regarding Regulation (EU) No 838/2010 amendment.

First stakeholder event, of the two envisaged, in order to encourage greater discussion on:

- Whether differences in Member State transmission tariff structures impede the aims of the European internal electricity market?
- Whether and to what extent is harmonisation potentially necessary and/or would be beneficial?

ACER

 Agency for the Cooperation
of Energy Regulators

Thank you!

Vanja Munerati

Vanja.Munerati@acer.europa.eu