

**Roundtable meeting with Associations of Energy Market Participants
26th April 2017, 13:30-17:30 CET and 27th April 2017, 09:30-15:30 CET
(6th floor, ACER's premises)**

Minutes

Day 1

(Chatham House Rules, no names in quotes during the meeting)

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Aleksandra Jercinovic	ACER
Elio Zammuto	ACER
Iztok Zlatac	ACER
Stefan Popottnigg	ACER
Lorenzo Briglia	AIGET
Giulia Migueles	ANIGAS
Ilaria Cherubini	ANIGAS
David Beier	BDEW
Peter Meeuwis	EASEE-gas
Gavin Ferguson	EFET
Filip Sleeuwagen	EFET
David Trevallion	Energy UK
Robert Jambrich	Euroelectric
Ales Vokolek	Swisselectric
Michael Gurschler	Osterreichs Energie
Alex Abbatson	IOGP
Tzvetelina Tzankova	Elcom
Antonia Maedel	E-Control

1. Opening

Welcome address and introduction of the participants. The agenda and minutes of the previous roundtable meeting were approved.

2. The fifth year of the AEMPs roundtable

The participants from AEMPs assessed the work done in this forum so far as well as some challenges to be confronted. The AEMPs expressed that have an impression that MPs would support stronger rules imposed by ACER, after something has been agreed they would prefer that some agreements become official guidelines – such as usage of UTI generator. Associations are planning to set the longer term goals for their work as think it is fruitful.

3. General update

ACER provided updates on several topics including update on MMD Department re-organization (the split into two departments) and upcoming public consultation on the schema changes that are planned to be limited and targeted. The resource (budget) discussion with EC is ongoing and that the decision on budget would be finalized in November-December 2017.

New editions of FAQs and Q&As had been published.

4. Discussion on transaction reporting issues

The Open letter on REMIT data quality that has been communicated to RRM, OMP and MP has been discussed. Data quality in general is not bad but could be improved, thus, the Agency started addressing the specific issues. It was said that several data quality reports have been prepared and will be communicated to affected parties through RRM. The feedback report template for the mentioned quality reports has been shared and discussed.

It has been agreed that MPs should inform ACER on exceptions in regard to the issues reported in Annex of the Open letter, thus, ACER might include them in the Examples of TRUM (for example as a part of the Annex II). The following examples were mentioned: Transaction timestamp rounding, the first and last date of Index fixing - being challenge to report properly, bilateral contract IDs issues etc.

The participants asked about quality of back loading data as suspect the quality of the data is not high. They were interested in the quality assurance streamline and involving MPs and their associations in the topics as well as mentioning and explaining the NRA's role in the data quality assurance.

Day 2

(Chatham House Rules, no names in quotes during the meeting)

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Aleksandra Jercinovic	ACER
Elio Zammuto	ACER
Iztok Zlatac	ACER
Stefan Popottnigg	ACER
Lorenzo Briglia	AIGET
Giulia Migueles	ANIGAS
Ilaria Cherubini	ANIGAS
David Beier	BDEW
Peter Meeuwis	EASEE-gas
Gavin Ferguson	EFET
Filip Sleeuwagen	EFET
David Trevallion	Energy UK
Robert Jambrich	Euroelectric
Ales Vokolek	Swisselectric
Michael Gurschler	Osterreichs Energie
Alex Abbatson	IOGP
Tzvetelina Tzankova	Elcom
Antonia Maedel	E-Control

5. ARIS status update

It was presented that ARIS migration has been planned for the coming months and limited downtime should be expected in June as some changes of the ARIS architecture are planned.

6. Discussion on transaction reporting issues

Discussion on the data quality from the previous day continued. ACER informed the group that a reference table with EIC codes will be either published on the REMIT Portal or circulated to RRMIs that are supposed to share it with MPs/OMP. MPs should then use the accepted codes for reporting but also map the codes that are not valid and by doing so the re-submission of already reported data with incorrect codes would not be needed.

Participants were interested in how good are matching rates of the UTIs used; which are typical errors; reporting time frames etc. The problem of timely and accurate sharing of information between RRM and MPs was exposed.

A new version of UTI generator and guidelines are in preparation and should be available soon.

7. FAQs recently received with draft answers document & RRM user group webinars

There was a discussion about individual questions for which no draft answers had been prepared. Some additional text was added for some draft answers.